

BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN
Andhra Pradesh :: Amaravathi

:: Present ::

N. Basavaiah, B.Sc, B.L.

Date: 23-07-2019

Appeal No. 11 of 2019-20

Between

Sri G. Ramanjulla Reddy, D.No: 3-69, Vepulakunta, Gandlapenta, Anantapur Dist-
515521 ...Appellant

And

1. Assistant Engineer/O/Gandlapenta
2. Assistant Divisional Engineer/O/Kadiri East
3. Divisional Engineer/O/Kadiri
4. Superintending Engineer/O/Anantapur

....Respondents

The above appeal- representation has come up for final hearing before the Vidyut Ombudsman on 16th July-2019 at Anantapur. The complainant, as well as the respondents , was present. Having considered the appeal-representation and the submissions made by the above persons present, the Vidyut Ombudsman passed the following:

1. This appeal has been preferred by the appellant-complainant against the order ***dated.29-03-2019 in C.G.No:183/2016-17/Anantapur circle,*** passed by the ***Forum for Redressal of Consumer Grievances in Southern Power Distribution Company of A.P Limited, Tirupathi,*** whereby and where-under the above Forum passed orders dismissing the complaint.
2. The complaint alleges that the conductor pertaining to the agricultural electrical service connection No.24 of the Complainant at Somayajulapalli

village of Gandlapenta Mandal was stolen and a report in that regard was lodged with the concerned police during 2008, that the respondents 1 and 2 did not take any steps to put a new conductor in the place of stolen conductor and that therefore, the complainant prays to direct the respondents to put a new conductor in the place of stolen conductor and to pay him Rs.10,000/- as compensation towards crop loss.

3. The response, on behalf of the respondents, was filed stating that already a conductor was put in the place of stolen conductor and that therefore, the complaint may be closed.

4. No oral or documentary evidence was adduced by both parties. After considering the material available on record, the Forum dismissed the complaint by assigning reasons that a dispute before civil court at Kadiri is pending and that that the relief as to payment of compensation for crop loss does not fall under the ambit of the Forum. It appears that during the pendency of the case, as per the instructions of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kadiri, the DTR was removed and electric supply was disconnected to the service connection of the complainant. There is no material whether either before or after filing the complaint, the conductor was put in the place of stolen conductor. The complainant did not make any submission as to the finding of the Forum regarding compensation for crop loss.

5. The complainant, after submitting his case, filed a memo stating that electric supply need not be restored till the land acquisition case is finally disposed of. Another memo was filed on behalf of the respondents stating that they have no objection to give electric connection after the civil dispute is settled.

6. In view of the above memos filed on behalf of both parties, there is no need to frame any point for consideration and to decide this case on merits.

7. In the result, I record the above memos and close the representation. No costs.

8. A copy of this order is made available at
www.vidyutombudsman.ap.gov.in

This order is corrected and signed on 23rd July, 2019.

s/d-N.Basavaiah

VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN

To

1. Sri. G. Ramajulla Reddy, D.No: 3-69, Vepulakunta, Gandlapenta,
Anantapur Dist-515521.
2. Assistant Engineer/O/Gandlapenta
3. Assistant Divisional Engineer/O/Kadiri East
4. Divisional Engineer/O/Kadiri
5. Superintending Engineer/O/Anantapur

Copy To:

6. The Chairman, C.G.R.F., APSPDCL,19/13/65/A, Srinivasapuram,
Near 132 kV Substation, Tiruchanoor Road, Tirupati - 517 503
7. The Secretary, APERC, 11-4-660, 4th Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red
Hills, Hyderabad - 500 004.