



**BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN  
Andhra Pradesh :: Hyderabad**

:: Present ::

**N. Basavaiah, B.Sc, B.L.**

Date: 23-01-2017

I.A. No. 03 of 2016 in Appeal No. 40 of 2016

Between

Sri. M. Lakshmi Narayana, C/o, Sri Ranganatha Oil Mill, D.No. 170/1, Sathyasai Nagar, Narpala - Post Office, Narpala Mandal, Anantapur District.

...Appellant/ Complainant

And

1. The AE/Operation/APSPDCL/Narpala
2. The ADE/Operation/APSPDCL/Anantapur
3. The DE/Operation/APSPDCL/Anantapur

... Respondents

**ORDER**

After hearing the complainant, the following order is passed:

1. The applicant- complainant submitted that the Assistant Divisional Engineer Operation, APSPDCL, Rural East, Ananthapur, 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent demanded him to pay a sum of Rs. 7,35,627 for the period from 24/08/2012 to 12/07/2014 on the ground that in view of the changed multiplying factor (MF) from '0.5(1/2)' to '1' due to change of Current Transformer & Potential Transformer(CTPT) set from 05/5A to 10/5A on 24.8.2012, the consumption recorded in the meter of the complainant for the above said period was multiplied by 0.5(1/2) by mistake instead of one(1), that he obtained stay orders from the Honorable High court after paying one lakh to the respondents, that his complainant by the CGRF, Tirupati was dismissed and as such, he preferred this representation and that apprehending the threat of disconnection for non-payment of the balance demanded amount by 28-01-2017, he also sought for an interim order not to disconnect the




*N. Basavaiah*

supply of electricity to his premises till the disposal of this appeal.

2. Considering the order of the CGRF besides the facts and circumstance of the case and submissions made by the applicant -complainant, it appears to me that this is a fit case to make an interlocutory order on some terms and I feel that if notice to the respondents, prior to issuing interim directions, is ordered, the possibility of their disconnecting the power supply is there and in such an event, the applicant may suffer irreparable injury and the object of issuing interim directions will be defeated by delay. Hence, I direct the Applicant-complainant to pay Rupees one lakh fifty thousand out of the above balance demanded amount to the third respondent within seven days from this day while directing the third respondent not to disconnect the service connection of the applicant-complainant till further orders if Rs. 1.5 lakh is paid as ordered supra. Both parties are directed to appear before the camp office of The Vidyut Ombudsman, at SE's office, Kurnool.

3. This order is corrected and signed on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2017.

  
VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN 23/1/17

To

1. Sri. M. Lakshmi Narayana, C/o Sri. Ranganatha Oil Mill, D.No. 170/1,  
Sathyasai Nagar, Narpala - Post Office, Narpala Mandal, Anantapur  
District - 515 425.
2. The Assistant Engineer, Operation, APSPDCL, Narpala, Anantapur  
District -
3. The Assistant Divisional Engineer, Operation, APSPDCL, Anantapur  
District -





4. The Divisional Engineer, Operation, APSPDCL, Anantapur District -

**Copy to:**

5. The Chairman, C.G.R.F., APSPDCL, 19/13/65/A, Srinivasapuram,  
Near 132 kV Substation, Tiruchanoor Road, Tirupati - 517 503
6. The Secretary, APERC, 11-4-660, 4th Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red  
Hills, Hyderabad - 500 004



VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN A.P.