

11.03.2024

Appeal / Representation No.34 of 2009 (CG No.20/2009-10) as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of A.P., dated 13.09.2023 in Writ Petition No.13808 of 2010

Sri R.S. Naidu who is said to be the chief accountant of the Appellant/Representationist company who is authorised to appear on behalf of the appellant/Representationist company is present and he filed his authorisation. Under the same authorisation letter, this appeal is not pressed. Further another letter not pressing the appeal is filed. Along with the said not pressing memo, colour xerox of the affidavit said to have been filed with the DISCOM not to press WP before the Hon'ble High Court is also filed. The W.P.No.13808/2010 was already allowed and the appeal No.34/2009 disposed off by Vidyut Ombudsman on 20.2.2010 was remanded to the Vidyut Ombudsman.

R1 is present. R2 and R4 authorised R1 to appear on their behalf by way of authorisation letters filed. R1 endorsed no objection for dismissal of appeal for himself and on behalf of R2 and R4.

All the documents filed by the Respondents on 24.2.2024 shall be part and parcel of this record. Only on memos, Heard. Passed over for orders.

3.00 PM

Order is Pronounced. Vide separate order.

In the result, this Appeal/Representation is dismissed, but in the circumstances, without costs.

Sd/-

Vidyut Ombudsman-AP